

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,925	10/623,925 07/21/2003		Yann Reubeuze	28944/40074	5378	
29471	7590	01/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCCRACI		RANK LLP	WHITE, RODN	EY BARNETT		
SUITE 2150		LLI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6	3636			

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/623,925	REUBEUZE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rodney B. White	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)	`					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

1

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 10/21/2003 has been considered. However, Applicant should note that he included the worng serial number on the PTO Form-1449. Fortunately, it was placed in the correct file and not the serial number Applicant had on the PTO Form-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Application/Control Number: 10/623,925

Art Unit: 3636

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benoit et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,153).

Benoit et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-7 and specification).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganot et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,095,608).

Ganot et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-12 and specification).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganot et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,164,723).

Ganot et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-3 and specification).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kondo et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,474,740 B1).

Benoit et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-5 and specification).

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rohee et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,402,249 B1).

Rohee et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figure 3 and specification).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikegaya (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0043856 A1).

Ikegaya teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-1B and specification).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rohee et al, Kopjima et al, and Reubeuze et al teach reclining mechanisms similar to the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-6863. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,925 Page 5

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney B. White, Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636 January 9, 2006

RODNEYB. WHITE